


The site, in one line

`ungovr.providers.sgit.ai` — an independent report on the UnGovr Open Data API, written to the nine sections of the `providers contract`.

Twelve pages, 39 claims in a ledger, every one carrying a state and a date. Built by `build.py` with **no external dependencies**, served from `docs/`, gated by `validate.sh`.

The vault is \$7 — the named workload. The site reports; the vault is the thing reported on.



ungovr.providers.sgit.ai — the provider report, written to the nine sections.

The word "provider" did not fit

The family defines it narrowly: *"a service that serves models over an API."*

UnGovr serve data, not models. By the letter, they do not belong.

The resolution: that definition was a **proxy** for the real question — *where does the credential live, and what bounds it?* A provider that answers "**there is no credential, and the bound is your IP address**" is not an exception to that question. It is the most informative possible answer to it.

So UnGovr is the family's first open-data provider, and the extension is argued on the page rather than assumed.

Three genuinely new rows

SECTION	A MODEL PROVIDER	UNGOVR
Which pattern	Pattern 0 is a mistake	Pattern 0 is the intended mode. Nothing to leak
The bounding primitive	A key scope or plan quota	A rate limit per IP, then HTTP 402. The bound is a <i>payment protocol</i>
What it cost	Dollars on a workload	Nothing , inside 100 requests a day

The comparison matrix gains a row shape it did not have: **a provider where pattern 0 is correct.**

The gate, not the promise

Every claim the site makes is enforced by a check that fails the build:

- the build is **reproducible** — `docs/` must match `content/`
- version agreement** across every badge, the release history and both machine indexes
- internal links resolve; every canonical is on the host in `CNAME`
- no root-absolute internal URL** — a sibling shipped one and served unstyled for a day
- every claim **cited and dated**; a `docs` claim without a source URL fails
- all nine contract sections present **and in order**

ungovr.providers.sgit.ai

part ofsgit.ai

PROVIDER REPORT

v0.1.35

The report

The finding

The vault

Patterns

Try it

Evidence

★ Source

Independent. No commercial relationship with UnGovr — no funding, no agreement, no conversation of any kind — checked 9 September 2026. Disclosures · how every claim here is evidenced

ungovr.providers.sgit.ai / The claim ledger — every claim, with the state it earned

The claim ledger — every claim, with the state it earned

Six states, and the default is the weakest one. **The join is the enforcement:** a claim that appears on a page and not in this table fails the build, and a `docs` claim whose source is not a URL fails it too.

40
verified

12
measured

6
vendor docs

3
specified, not shipped

1
written, not run

1
projected

Run against the API, 9 September 2026

CLAIM	STATE	HOW WE KNOW	WHERE IT IS SAID
<code>data.ungovr.org</code> answers this container. <code>GET /v1/entities/index.json</code> returned 200 with 29,099 bytes at 01:47Z. This closes blocker B1 , which the previous session recorded as an egress refusal — the refusal was our environment's, not theirs, and it is gone.	VERIFIED 9 SEP 2026	curl, from the authoring container; the row is in the retrieval log	the report
Santa Barbara County resolves as <code>us/ca/santa-barbara</code> , read from <code>/v1/entities/us/ca.json</code> rather than guessed. This closes blocker B4 .	VERIFIED 9 SEP 2026	<code>/v1/entities/us/ca.json</code> , sha256 4a4aa242a2d16941	join
<code>open_records</code> is declared in UnGovr's own published schema as a property of <code>entity_detail</code> , an object with one string member, <code>law</code> . It is not in that definition's <code>required</code> list. The join point is designed and optional.	VERIFIED 9 SEP 2026	<code>/v1/meta/schema.json</code> , sha256 ac7ce7e32a70c65d	the report
<code>open_records</code> appears in exactly one place in the API — the per-entity detail document. It is absent from every bulk surface, which UnGovr's own OpenAPI states: the full-depth index is "a compact record (<code>{slug, name, type, parent_slug?, population?}</code>)". So the coverage of this edge cannot be computed from any bulk artefact by anyone.	VERIFIED 9 SEP 2026	<code>/v1/meta/openapi.yaml</code> , sha256 5c344d97b440690d, and the retrieved index files	the report, briefs, coverage

The claim ledger: every factual claim, its state, its date and where it is said.


Four checks this site had to invent

`check_tone` — refuses the vocabulary that turns a report on a nonprofit into an audit. Scoped to our own prose; `/briefs/` is exempt because those are republished verbatim.

`check_not_a_defect_count` — refuses to publish `0 of 49` on any page that omits the sentence saying what it is not. That sentence is: **the measurement is not a defect count**. This slide is subject to its own rule, which is why it says it here.

`check_no_restricted_corpus` — fails if UnGovr's AI-law payload enters the tree. Keyed on the corpus schema id, **not** on the licence sentence — quoting one sentence to report what it says is the finding, not the redistribution.

`check_network_pages` — exactly one page may open a connection, and only to the vault origin.



The pack reader — and the raw bytes named, hashed and linked above the text.

The key discipline

The vault's **write key** must never enter a public repository. So:

- `secret-scan.sh` runs **16 patterns over the whole tree including** `docs/`
- `check_site.py` carries the same tripwire a second time
- both were wired **before any content was written**

They deliberately do **not** match `sgit_private_read_...`. **A read key is publishable** — it is how this estate shares a vault — and \$7 depends on carrying one.

When an UnGovr API key arrived mid-session, `ung_live_` was added to both **before the key was used**.

The site does not claim to be finished

Of 39 claims: most are `verified` or `measured`, and the honest gaps are labelled.

- everything about the **payment path** is `docs` — no 402 was ever returned
- the **embed** shipped `unrun` until somebody watched it work, then moved to `verified`
- one acceptance test **regressed on purpose** when the release channel was withdrawn

A site whose ledger is all `verified` has stopped measuring.

ungovr.providers.sgit.ai

part of `sgit.ai`

PROVIDER REPORT

v0.1.15

The report

The finding

The vault

Patterns

Try it

Evidence

★ Source

Independent. No commercial relationship with UnGovr — no funding, no agreement, no conversation of any kind — checked 9 September 2026. Disclosures · how every claim here is evidenced

ungovr.providers.sgit.ai / Four decks, from the vault

Four decks, from the vault

Four presentations, **39 slides**, written as ordinary markdown in the vault and rendered here. They read as documents in the vault browser, as slides in the vault's app, and as slides on this page — **and if the three ever disagree, the markdown is right.**

Prose from the government-graph vault `dkeclt5r @ obj-cas-1mm-a6f8269b0967`, 9 September 2026. Republished byte for byte from decks/ in the vault; `check_site.py` verifies every file against a recorded sha256, so a copy that drifted would fail the build. When the vault moves ahead, this page is behind — and says so rather than guessing.

#	DECK	WHAT IT COVERS	SLIDES
01	What we learned about UnGovr	Eight findings from 76 hashed requests: the 0-of-49, why nobody can census it, the 96.6% that is one hop away, and the licence split	10
02	What we built on the site	The provider report, the argument for admitting an open-data provider at all, and the checks that make its promises enforceable	9
03	How this composes	What this vault contributes to Risk Mandate, Licence to Operate and the AIUC-1 conformance layer — and what it took from them	10
04	What we plan to build	The security-standards dev pack: the 95 crosswalks that point at law, the vocabulary we forked by accident, and the blocker that would kill the thesis	10

Start with 01 if you have never met the data, and 04 if you have. VERIFIED 9 SEP 2026

Four decks, republished from the vault and rendered here as slides.

Twelve corrections, filed beside the briefs

The packs that commissioned this work are **republished raw** at `/briefs/`, unedited, including the parts that turned out to be wrong.


Among them: the coverage plan could not have measured anything (C3); there is no Akoma Ntoso for the CPRA, so an acceptance test is recorded **unpassable rather than weakened** (C5); the AI-law corpus is far richer than predicted (C8) and **not CC BY 4.0** (C9); *"do not iframe it"* was wrong about the estate's own pattern (C11).

A pack quietly edited to match its outcome proves nothing about the method it argues for.

The division of labour

The vault is where the experiments happen. A push changes what a reader sees, with no rebuild and no deploy. Two of the app's computations — the shape of the 398-law corpus, and what the 16,071 Californian bodies are — **exist only there**.

The site is the report. It carries the ledger that says how well each thing is known, and it is the thing with a release history and a CI gate.



The vault, running live on the page from a read key printed beside it.