

# Every standard says what good looks like. None says who has to do it.

A control says *maintain an audit log*. It does not say **Santa Barbara County must, under Gov. Code § 7922.535(a), within 10 calendar days.**

The obligated party and the jurisdiction that binds it are the two node classes every standards graph gestures at, and none of them identifies.


UnGovr publish **327,138 identified bodies** and **398 jurisdiction-keyed laws**. Those are the anchor nodes our graphs are missing.

# The number that carries the argument

AIUC-1's conformance vault holds **1,126 crosswalks** from its controls out to **489 distinct control items across thirteen frameworks**.

**Ninety-five of those crosswalks point at law** — EU AI Act 62, Colorado AI Act 18, California SB 53 9, NYC Local Law 144 6.

**Three of the four laws are sub-national United States jurisdictions**.



The count came from opening AIUC-1's vault here and reading its graph, not from its prose.

# Two findings from opening their vault, and the second changed the pack

**One: all thirteen frameworks are AI-specific.** No NIST CSF, no 800-53, no ISO 27001 or 27002, no SOC 2, no CIS, no PCI DSS. That is the right scope for an agent standard — and it means the general-security half of the map is **absent from this estate**.

**Two: they already use** `anchor:` **nodes**, reached by an `anchors_to` edge, 489 of them.

# One label had to be read rather than trusted

One of the thirteen is labelled "**OWASP Top 10**", which reads as the web application list — a general security artefact. If it were one, the finding above would be wrong.

Its members are `LLM01:25 Prompt Injection` through `LLM10:25 Unbounded Consumption`: the **OWASP Top 10 for LLM Applications**.

**The finding survives only because the members were listed instead of the label believed.**

# Where the rabbit hole actually stops

Follow the evidence to a law and UnGovr hands you a **structured summary in JSON** — 31 to 34 fields — and **a link to the official publisher**, on 392 of 398 laws across 339 hosts.

What it does not hand you: **the text**, in any format. `.md` `.html` `.txt` `.pdf` `.jsonld` `.ttl` all 404, and `Accept:` headers return **byte-identical** responses.

And 254 of the 398 laws — every sub-national one — have no reachable detail document at all.

ungovr.providers.sgit.ai

part ofsgit.ai

PROVIDER REPORT

v0.1.15

The report

The finding

The vault

Patterns

Try it

Evidence

★ Source

Independent. No commercial relationship with UnGovr — no funding, no agreement, no conversation of any kind — checked 9 September 2026. Disclosures · how every claim here is evidenced

ungovr.providers.sgit.ai / What you get when you reach a law

### What you get when you reach a law

The rabbit hole works right up to the law. **Entity → jurisdiction → instrument all resolve**. Then it stops: there is no text, no PDF, no markdown, no graph — and for **every sub-national jurisdiction**, no detail document that can be addressed at all.

Prose from the government-graph vault dkeclt5r @ obj-cas-imm-e23f0cefcfcf , 9 September 2026. Every row below was fetched in this session and hashed; the requests are in the retrieval log. Nothing here is read from their documentation. When the vault moves ahead, this page is behind — and says so rather than guessing.

ON THIS PAGE

The short answer JSON, and only JSON What the summary actually holds — and it is a lot

The provenance link is there, and it works Provision-level identifiers: one field, some of the time

The sub-national half is unreachable Ontology: a controlled vocabulary, not a graph

So: could we help? Yes, and this is the shape of it What this page does not claim

The short answer

| YOU WANT                                         | UNGOVR GIVES YOU                                                                                | STATE  |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| The law's <b>text</b> — md, html, txt, pdf, docx | <b>Nothing</b> . No format carries the instrument itself                                        | absent |
| A <b>structured summary</b> of it                | <b>31-34 JSON fields</b> : deadlines, residency, fees, exemptions, appeals, penalties           | rich   |
| A link to the <b>actual source</b>               | <code>law_url</code> + <code>primary_source_url</code> + typed <code>secondary_sources[]</code> | good   |

Where the rabbit hole stops: JSON only, no instrument text, and 254 laws unreachable.

# The rule the whole design rests on

**Don't merge vocabularies — merging erases the disagreement. Keep them intact and bridge them through anchor nodes.**

*graphs.sgit.ai, thesis sentence 6*

Merging UnGovr's entity vocabulary into a standards vocabulary would destroy both. Bridging them costs **one node class and one edge**.

# Fifteen edges, and the four that caught us out

Every edge is a verb with a **distinct inverse**. Six come from graphs.sgit.ai's established set, four from the AIUC-1 conformance layer, **five are proposed here and marked as proposed**.

The pack originally said eight were *reused unchanged*. **Four of the six had been quietly renamed** — `observed_on` given the inverse `observation_of` where the published set says `bears_observation`.

**Renaming another vocabulary's inverses and calling it reuse is a fork.**

ungovr.providers.sgit.ai

part of sgit.ai

PROVIDER REPORT

v0.1.15

The report

The finding

The vault

Patterns

Try it

Evidence

★ Source

Independent. No commercial relationship with UnGovr — no funding, no agreement, no conversation of any kind — checked 9 September 2026. Disclosures · how every claim here is evidenced

ungovr.providers.sgit.ai / packs / security-graph / 06\_verification.md

06 — Verification

View the raw file ↴

06\_verification.md — rendered here, and served unrendered at /packs/security-graph/06\_verification.md · sha256 84e4a83a19f3a885, checked against the vault on every build. **The reader is an addition; the bytes are the document.** The rendering drops the document's own title line, because this page already carries it as its heading, and rewrites intra-pack links so they resolve here.

SECURITY-GRAPH/ — 8 FILES

README.md  
sha256 27e588b05188

00\_\_START-HERE.md  
sha256 a85f70d6732b

01\_the-anchor-node-thesis.md  
sha256 7136286fa0d2

02\_the-model.md  
sha256 7778412b0213

03\_the-standards-map.md  
sha256 864398394dfe

04\_the-worked-example.md  
sha256 65e89591d885

05\_integration.md  
sha256 2c0f88a3b08f

06\_verification.md  
sha256 84e4a83a19f3

Every file is one click from its own bytes. The hash beside each name is the sha256 this site

version v0.1 · 9 September 2026

← 05 Integration [link removed: 05\_\_integration.md:] · 00 Start Here [link removed: 00\_\_START-HERE.md:]

The rule this file enforces

Every claim carries a state, and the default is the weakest one. That applies to this pack about itself: **nothing it specifies is built**, and the status column below says so.

The acceptance tests

| # | TEST                                                                                                                                           | WHY IT EXISTS                              | STATE                                                            |
|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | A named body resolves from its published slug, and the jurisdiction it is <code>governed_by</code> resolves too                                | The anchor is real rather than illustrated | <code>programmatic-inline</code> — inherited. Done in this vault |
| 2 | The <code>governed_by</code> edge is drawn <code>inferred</code> everywhere, and its measured reach and its needs-a-human share ship beside it | <b>UnGovr do not make this claim</b>       | <code>programmatic-inline</code> — inherited. 96.6% / 20.5%      |

File 02, republished from the vault — including the paragraph that was wrong about its own edges.

# What we would supply, and what we would not

| THEY SUPPLY                                                  | WE SUPPLY                                    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| The instrument, found and summarised, with its publisher URL | Parsing it into provisions, each with a hash |
| 254 sub-national jurisdictions, identified and slugged       | The anchor binding a body to a place         |
| A controlled vocabulary — 22 fields, 17 enums                | Edges with named inverses                    |

**None of it requires their corpus to be redistributed**, which matters because part of it cannot be.

# The state of it: nothing is built, and the tests say so

Thirteen acceptance tests. Five pass — and all five are **inherited** from work this vault had already done. One is **asserted**. Seven are **unevidenced**.

Four blockers are open. One, S1, closed on the day it was written by doing what the pack said to do first.

ungovr.providers.sgit.ai

part of sgit.ai

PROVIDER REPORT

v0.1.15

The report

The finding

The vault

Patterns

Try it

Evidence

Source

Independent. No commercial relationship with UnGovr — no funding, no agreement, no conversation of any kind — checked 9 September 2026. Disclosures · how every claim here is evidenced

ungovr.providers.sgit.ai / packs / security-graph / 06\_\_verification.md

06 — Verification

View the raw file ↓

06\_\_verification.md — rendered here, and served unrendered at /packs/security-graph/06\_\_verification.md · sha256 04e4a8a3a19fa8e5, checked against the vault on every build. **The reader is an addition; the bytes are the document.** The rendering drops the document's own title line, because this page already carries it as its heading, and rewrites intra-pack links so they resolve here.

SECURITY-GRAPH/ — 8 FILES

README.md  
sha256 2fe588b0b5180

00\_\_START-HERE.md  
sha256 a8b5f79de732b

01\_\_the-anchor-node-thesis.md  
sha256 7136290fad02

02\_\_the-model.md  
sha256 7778412b0213

03\_\_the-standards-map.md  
sha256 864388394d76

04\_\_the-worked-example.md  
sha256 05e09591d885

05\_\_integration.md  
sha256 2cdf08a38c85

06\_\_verification.md  
sha256 04e4a8a3a19fa

Every file is one click from its own bytes. The hash beside each name is the sha256 this site

version v0.1 · 9 September 2026

← 05 Integration [link removed: 05\_\_integration.md:] · 00 Start Here [link removed: 00\_\_START-HERE.md:]

The rule this file enforces

Every claim carries a state, and the default is the weakest one. That applies to this pack about itself: **nothing it specifies is built**, and the status column below says so.

The acceptance tests

| # | TEST                                                                                                                                           | WHY IT EXISTS                              | STATE                                                                |
|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | A named body resolves from its published slug, and the jurisdiction it is <code>governed_by</code> resolves                                    | The anchor is real rather than illustrated | <code>programmatic-inline</code> — inherited. Done in this vault too |
| 2 | The <code>governed_by</code> edge is drawn <code>inferred</code> everywhere, and its measured reach and its needs-a-human share ship beside it | <b>UnGovr do not make this claim</b>       | <code>programmatic-inline</code> — inherited. 96.6% / 20.5%          |

Thirteen tests, five passing, all inherited. The pack states what it has not earned.

# What would make this wrong

**S3 is the one that kills it.** If UnGovr's entity ids churn between releases, the anchor thesis fails and nothing else in the pack survives. **It is unmeasured.** Two snapshots, compared, is the next cheapest thing to do.

**S4:** if a standards body already publishes machine-readable jurisdiction scope, their binding replaces our inference and should.

**S5 is not closeable by us:** the AI-law corpus cannot be redistributed.